Provided for non-commercial research and education use. Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.



This article appeared in a journal published by Elsevier. The attached copy is furnished to the author for internal non-commercial research and education use, including for instruction at the authors institution and sharing with colleagues.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to personal, institutional or third party websites are prohibited.

In most cases authors are permitted to post their version of the article (e.g. in Word or Tex form) to their personal website or institutional repository. Authors requiring further information regarding Elsevier's archiving and manuscript policies are encouraged to visit:

http://www.elsevier.com/copyright

Biological Conservation 143 (2010) 588-596

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect





journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/biocon

How does grazing intensity affect different vegetation types in arid Succulent Karoo, South Africa? Implications for conservation management

Daniela H. Haarmeyer*, Ute Schmiedel, Jürgen Dengler, Britta M. Bösing

Plant Systematics and Vegetation Ecology, Biocentre Klein Flottbek, University of Hamburg, Ohnhorststr. 18, 22609 Hamburg, Germany

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 20 July 2009 Received in revised form 30 October 2009 Accepted 6 November 2009 Available online 8 January 2010

Keywords: Aizoaceae Biodiversity Herbivory Namaqualand Rangeland management Systematic conservation planning

ABSTRACT

The Knersvlakte in the Succulent Karoo Biome (South Africa) is known for its high plant diversity and endemism. In the course of establishing a conservation area there, we assessed baseline data for future management. We investigated the effects of grazing on the vegetation in terms of species diversity and composition as well as reproduction of selected species. Data were sampled on four adjacent farms, which were ungrazed, moderately or intensively grazed by sheep and goats. The data were collected in 27 quartz and 24 non-quartz plots, representing two major habitat types of the region. Within each of the 1000-m² plots, 100 subplots of 400 cm² size were sampled. ANOVAs revealed that species richness and abundance of endemic species on quartz fields decreased with grazing. Abundance of annuals did not increase significantly due to grazing. Fidelity analyses indicated that species composition differed between grazing intensities and that the ungrazed and moderately grazed plots both contained unique locally endemic habitat specialists. Reproduction of two endemic dwarf shrubs Drosanthemum schoenlandianum and Argyroderma fissum (both Aizoaceae) increased under moderate grazing, which in the case of D. schoenlandianum was interpreted as an effect of grazing. We attribute the low number of seedlings and annuals on the moderately grazed farm to lower seasonal rainfall on these plots. From a conservation perspective, no or moderate grazing appear to be necessary to preserve plant diversity and vegetation patterns, and their underlying processes.

© 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

BIOLOGICAL CONSERVATION

1. Introduction

Growing awareness in recent decades of the irreplaceable loss of biodiversity as well as of the ecological and economic value of ecosystem functions and services has modified the goals of conservation planning. The recently developed concept of systematic conservation planning aims to sustainably conserve current biodiversity. This it does by taking into account patterns and processes that allow the maintenance of biological assemblages, species and populations, and ecosystem dynamics and adaptation (Margules and Pressey, 2000).

Recent conservation planning for the biodiversity hotspots of South Africa (Myers et al., 2000), such as the Cape Floristic Region and Succulent Karoo, follow the concept of systematic conservation planning (Cowling and Pressey, 2003; Desmet, 2004; Desmet et al., 1999; Pressey et al., 2003). Within the Succulent Karoo, the Knersvlakte has been recognized as one of the highest conservation priority areas in South Africa due to its unique flora and its high diversity and endemism (Desmet et al., 1999; Hilton-Taylor and Le Roux, 1989). Currently, the prospective Knersvlakte Conservation Area has a size of 62,000 ha managed by the provincial nature conservation authority, CapeNature. By incorporating more farms, CapeNature aims for an ultimate size of 113,500 ha (Elbé Cloete, pers. comm. 2008).

The high diversity and endemism among plants of the Knersvlakte is attributed mainly to pronounced small-scale heterogeneity in abiotic soil characteristics (Schmiedel and Jürgens, 1999). Further, Desmet (2007) gives a short overview on different hypotheses on the drivers (e.g. habitat discontinuity, longer term climatic fluctuations) possibly involved in the evolution processes that are responsible for this high rate of endemism and diversity. However, the role of herbivory in evolutionary adaptation processes should not be underestimated (Desmet, 2007). The conservation area has been used for grazing of domestic livestock since about 2000-1600 years before present by Khoikhoi pastoralists practicing transhumant land use (Boonzaier et al., 2000) and more intensively during the last 150-200 years mainly by private farmers. Besides the presence of domestic animals (mainly sheep and goats), wild ungulates (e.g. antelopes, elephants and black rhinoceroses) used to roam the country but have been drastically reduced in numbers since the intensification of livestock farming (Hoffman and Rohde, 2007). Therefore, complete removal of domestic animals in the course of establishing a protected area might change

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +49 40 42816 404; fax: +49 40 42816 539.

E-mail addresses: daniela.haarmeyer@yahoo.de (D.H. Haarmeyer), uschmiedel@ botanik.uni-hamburg.de (U. Schmiedel), dengler@botanik.uni-hamburg.de (J. Dengler), britta.boesing@gmx.de (B.M. Bösing).

^{0006-3207/\$ -} see front matter @ 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2009.11.008

the unique flora of the Knersvlakte by removing an important driver for the dynamics and the rejuvenation of the vegetation. However, heavy grazing has been shown to be one of the main causes for degradation and loss of biodiversity in arid and semi-arid environments (Cowling and Hilton-Taylor, 1994; Fleischner, 1994; West, 1993). Further, compositional shift, especially from a vegetation dominated by perennials to one dominated by annuals (Milton et al., 1994; Milton and Hoffman, 1994; Todd and Hoffman, 1999), as well as reduced seed numbers and germination rates (Riginos and Hoffman, 2003) have been reported as responses to overutilization.

To provide baseline data for decision making for future conservation management, a deeper understanding of the current effects of livestock on local vegetation composition and dynamics is required. The aim of this study was to examine the effects of current livestock grazing on the vegetation of the Knersvlakte in order to assist in decisions about appropriate future land use management. By comparing adjacent farms in the Knersvlakte that are subject to different grazing intensities the following research questions were addressed:

- Does grazing by domestic livestock affect plant communities of different habitat types in terms of species composition, life history and diversity, with particular respect to endemic taxa?
- Does grazing affect the seedling abundance in general or the reproduction of selected perennial plant species in particular?

2. Methods

2.1. Study area

The Knersvlakte $(30^{\circ}27'-32^{\circ}05'S, 17^{\circ}46'-19^{\circ}06'E)$ is an extensive peneplain in the Western Cape province of South Africa. The altitude ranges from 50 to 600 m a.s.l. (van Wyk and Smith, 2001). The Knersvlakte comprises an area of 13,500 km² and forms the southernmost part of the Namaqualand, which belongs to the Succulent Karoo Biome (Milton et al., 1997; Rutherford and Westfall, 1994). According to Myers et al. (2000), the Succulent Karoo is the only arid region among the 25 internationally recognised biodiversity hotspots.

With more than 150 endemic vascular plant species (van Wyk and Smith, 2001), the Knersvlakte is often referred to as a centre of endemism and diversity (Jürgens, 1997; van Wyk and Smith, 2001), and is also known as the Vanrhynsdorp Centre (Hartmann, 1991; Hilton-Taylor, 1994; Nordenstam, 1969). The climate is characterised by a relatively predictable winter rainfall with an average of 116 mm per year (mainly falling in May–August), occasionally supplemented by fog and dew. Temperatures range from 5–10 °C in winter to 30–35 °C in summer (Mucina et al., 2006).

Also characteristic of the Knersvlakte is the frequent occurrence of quartz fields in which quartz gravel forms up to 100% groundsurface cover. The quartz gravel derived from weathered quartz veins running through parental material of limestone, shale and phyllites (Schmiedel and Jürgens, 1999). These conditions create a unique habitat with a distinct flora dominated by succulent compact dwarf chamaephytes, mainly Aizoaceae. The zonal matrix habitat types consist of sandy to loamy soils without quartz gravel cover, and are characterised by shrubby chamaephyte vegetation. These are henceforth referred to as 'non-quartz', while the quartz field habitats are henceforth referred to as 'quartz'.

2.2. Sampling design

Four farms with three different livestock (sheep, goats) grazing intensities located in the central prospective Knersvlakte Conservation Area were selected. The selected farms were Rooiberg and Hoogstaan as intensively grazed farms ('high'), Ratelgat as a moderately grazed ('moderate') and Quaggaskop as an ungrazed farm ('no') (Fig. 1, Table 1). They are situated 30–50 km north of Vanrhynsdorp and about 30 km east of the Atlantic Ocean.

Data were collected from early August to early November, 2007. Altogether, 51 plots (for GPS coordinates see El. App. A) were set up at homogenous sites representative of each farm. Grazing intensity (no, moderate, high) was represented by eight replicates on non-quartz and nine replicates on quartz habitats. Each plot was 20×50 m (0.1 ha) in size and contained 100 subplots. These measured 20×20 cm and were arranged in a regular grid (compare El. App. B).

For each subplot, the following parameters were recorded:

Microhabitat: The microhabitat of the subplot was determined using three categories of quartz cover density (high: >2/3; medium: 1/3-2/3; low: <1/3 cover).

Microtopography: The height difference between the lowest and highest point was measured and grouped into three categories (flat: <1 cm, medium: 1–5 cm; hilly: >5 cm).

Species: The identity and abundance of all vascular plant species rooting in the subplots were determined. Recognized taxonomic units that could not be assigned to described species were given field names or they were determined at a higher taxonomic level (see El. App. C). Nomenclature follows Germishuizen and Meyer (2003).

Developmental stage: All vascular plant individuals were classified into three age classes according to developmental stages of leaves and habit (seedling: only cotyledons developed; juvenile: additional leaves; adult: species-specific habit fully developed).

Number of reproductive structures: Individual flowers or inflorescences and fruits or fruit clusters of all individuals of chamaephytes and phanerophytes were counted.

2.3. Soil analysis

One mixed soil sample from 1 to 11 cm soil depth was collected on each plot (i.e. after removal of the top crust layer) and air dried. The soil was sieved (mesh wide: 2 mm) to prepare for subsequent analyses (conducted in the laboratory at the University of Hamburg, Germany).

We measured pH, conductivity and carbonate content of the soil, as previous studies showed that these parameters are the main drivers for plant species composition and vegetation structure in the study are (Schmiedel and Jürgens, 1999).

For pH measurement, a sub-sample of 10 g was suspended in 25 ml CaCl₂ (0.01 mol l⁻¹) for 1 h and then measured with a pH-meter (Schott CG837, electrode BlueLine 28 ph-P) for 5 min. The electrical conductivity was determined with an electrode (LF197, WTW) in a suspension of 10 g soil and 25 ml bidistilled water (van Reeuwijk, 1995). Carbonate content was estimated by a HCl test on a 7-point ordinal scale according to AG Boden (2005).

2.4. Data analyses

Inferential statistical analyses were conducted with STATISTICA 8.0 (StatSoft Inc., 2007). Normal distribution and homogeneity of variance were assessed visually as recommended by Quinn and Keough (2002). Data strongly deviating from normal distribution or with heterogenic variances were transformed using log transformation or non-parametric tests were used.

Author's personal copy

D.H. Haarmeyer et al. / Biological Conservation 143 (2010) 588-596



Fig. 1. Study area. Investigated farms are printed in white and labelled with their names (crossed lines = farm borders). Grazing intensities: Quaggaskop: no grazing; Ratelgat: moderate grazing; Hoogstaan and Rooiberg: intensive grazing. Shapefiles of farms, rivers and roads were kindly provided by CapeNature.

Table 1

Names and important characteristics of the farms investigated. SSU = small stock unit after Esler et al. (2006).

Farm name	Size (ha)	Grazing intensity	Ownership	Comments
Hoogstaan	6000	High (10 ha/SSU)	Private	Intensive grazing for the past about 50 years, interrupted by a 10 year-period of light grazing (1982–1992: 26 ha/SSU)
Rooiberg	11,500	High (12 ha/SSU)	State land	Past 20 years: use of only about 7000 ha of the farmland by several informal settlers. 1984–1987: use of the farm for military training causing a high level of degradation
Ratelgat	7000	Moderate (17 ha/SSU)	Private	Moderate grazing since 2000. Before that, only sporadic use for farming
Quaggaskop	5000	No	Private	The studied section (1500 ha) with no grazing for the past 40 years

2.4.1. Community level: diversity measures

For the analyses at community level, we used several parameters for abundance and diversity measurements. Plot data are represented by cumulative data of the one hundred 400-cm² subplots of the respective 0.1 ha plot. Seedlings were excluded from the statistical analyses of the parameters described in Table 2 due to identification difficulties.

To analyse differences between quartz and non-quartz habitats as well as between grazing intensities, we performed analyses of variance (ANOVAs) for each parameter as well as for pH and conductivity (Table 2). For differences in carbonate content, we performed a non-parametric Mann–Whitney *U* test since ranked data were used. Data with more than two categories were further analysed with the Tukey's HSD post hoc test (at α = 0.05). To take into account potentially confounding effects of soil parameters on differences between grazing intensities, we performed Analyses of Covariance (ANCOVAs) with soil pH as well as with electrical conductivity as linear predictors.

2.4.2. Community level: species composition

To test the fidelity of the species to the units investigated (i.e. habitat type, grazing intensities), we determined ϕ coefficients (Chytrý et al., 2002) for all species in the different units. The ϕ coefficient is a measure of association between two categories (in this case species and investigated unit). It takes values from -1 to +1, with the latter indicating complete reciprocal fidelity of a species and a unit (Chytrý et al., 2002). ϕ -Values were grouped into four categories (Chytrý, 2007): $\phi \ge 0.50$: highly diagnostic, $0.25 \le \phi < 0.50$: diagnostic; $0.00 \le \phi < 0.25$: positively associated non-diagnostic; $\phi < 0.00$: negatively associated non-diagnostic. Fisher's exact test was used to test whether the association of a species with a unit was statistically significant (at $\alpha = 0.05$).

D.H. Haarmeyer et al./Biological Conservation 143 (2010) 588-596

Table 2

Comparison of parameters 1–13 with description and environmental data for non-quartz and quartz plots (mean ± standard deviation or 'median). In the right column the *p*-value for differences between the two habitat types is given (ANOVA; df = 49; *N* = 51 or "Mann–Whitney *U*-test). *p*-Values printed in bold indicate significant differences.

	Parameter	Description	Quartz	Non-quartz	p-Value
1	Total individuals	Number of individuals per plot	186 ± 116	330 ± 197	0.002
2	Individuals of endemic species	Number of individuals of Knersvlakte endemic species per plot (see	75 ± 42	34 ± 12	<0.001 ¹
		also El. App. C)			
3	Individuals of perennial species	Number of individuals of above-ground perennial species (i.e.	123 ± 73	74 ± 18	0.005 ¹
		chamaephytes and phanerophytes) per plot			
4	Individuals of annual species	Number of individuals of annual species	56 ± 72	237 ± 193	<0.001 ¹
5	Plot species richness	Number of species per plot	23 ± 6	31 ± 6	<0.001
6	Mean species richness	Average number of species of all subplots per plot	1.14 ± 0.47	1.66 ± 0.62	0.002
7	Plot evenness	Shannon-evenness (E) of a plot's vegetation	0.46 ± 0.12	0.42 ± 0.17	0.335
8	Plot/subplot ratio	Ratio of plot species richness (see 5) and average number of species of	22 ± 7	21 ± 6	0.439
		all subplots (see 6) per plot. This is used as a measure of β -diversity			
		(Whittaker, 1960)			
9	Endemic species richness	Species richness per plot for endemic species described under 2	8 ± 3	6 ± 2	0.013
10	Endemic evenness	Shannon evenness E (see 7) per plot for local endemic species	0.58 ± 0.15	0.71 ± 0.12	0.001
		described under 2			
11	Perennial species richness	Species richness of perennial species described under 3 per plot	15 ± 5	15 ± 3	0.874
12	Perennial evenness	Shannon evenness (see 7) per plot for species described under 3	0.49 ± 0.14	0.62 ± 0.11	<0.001
13	Annual species richness	Number of annual species per plot	6 ± 3	12 ± 3	<0.001
	рН		6.33 ± 1.31	7.67 ± 0.47	<0.001 ¹
	Conductivity $[uS cm^{-1}]$		4836 + 2405	2554 + 2117	< 0.001 ¹
	Carbonate content		1	3°	<0.001**
	(ordinal scale with range of $1-7$)		•	5	0.001
	(orallar scale with funge of 1 7)				

¹ Results for log transformed data.

2.4.3. Population level: reproduction

We conducted ANOVAs for each selected species to test for differences between grazing intensities with the geometric mean of reproductive structures per plot as the response variable. These analyses were carried out for perennial species (chamaephytes and phanerophytes, see El. App. D) that were unambiguously identified by species name or field name and were present as adults carrying reproductive structures on at least three plots of each grazing intensity.

For a combined analysis of all selected species, we standardised the species values by dividing the log-transformed numbers of the reproductive structures of each individual by the species' mean. We averaged the values for each species and conducted an ANOVA to test for differences between grazing intensities.

2.4.4. Population level: number of seedlings

For the analyses of the effects of grazing on the germination of seedlings, we analysed: (i) all seedlings, (ii) seedlings of the Aizoaceae, and (iii) all other seedlings. As the data were Poisson-distributed and log-transforming was impractical due to high numbers of zeros, we used the non-parametric Kruskal–Wallis-Test for the analyses of seedling numbers.

With the mean number of above mentioned seedling groups per subplot and plot we analysed differences between habitat types, grazing intensities, microhabitat (expressed as quartz cover) and microtopography on subplot level. For this we used Kruskal–Wallis-Tests with the mean seedling frequencies per subplot, category (in the latter two cases) and plot.

3. Results

3.1. General characterisation of the plots

In total, 173 taxonomic entities of vascular plants (further referred to as 'species' or 'taxa') were recorded with 16,563 individuals (10,343 adults, 2607 juveniles and 3613 seedlings). While 132 taxa could be clearly identified as described species, 15 were referred to unambiguously by field name (only two of them with unknown genus or family) and 20 taxa were determined at the family or genus level without unambiguous species identification. Six could not be attributed to specific families (see El. App. C). Taxa on intensively grazed (n = 17) and on ungrazed plots (n = 17) totalled 124 each, while we found 105 taxa on moderately grazed plots (n = 17). For an illustration of the ten most abundant species recorded on quartz and non-quartz plots and of the most abundant families, see El. App. E and F. The three most abundant species of each grazing intensity class and their percentage contribution are illustrated in El. App. G.

Of the 132 clearly identified species, 40 were endemic to the Knersvlakte, including 32 Aizoaceae species (see El. App. C). The prevailing life forms were chamaephytes and therophytes (see El. App. D).

3.2. Community level: biodiversity

3.2.1. Habitat types

The two habitat types, quartz and non-quartz, differed significantly in most of the tested biodiversity measures as well as in their soil properties (Table 2). Non-quartz plots had a higher total number of individuals and species, but lower numbers of endemic individuals and species. Non-quartz plots contained more annual but less perennial individuals than quartz plots. The species richness of annuals was higher in non-quartz plots than in quartz plots, but perennial species richness did not differ between the habitat types. Evenness of total species composition did not differ significantly, but evenness of endemic as well as of perennial species composition was higher in non-quartz than in quartz plots. The quartz plots had lower and more varying soil pH values and higher conductivity than the non-quartz plots. Carbonate content was higher in non-quartz soils.

3.2.2. Grazing intensities

As habitat types differed in most of the tested parameters (compare Section 3.2.1), we conducted the following analyses separately for quartz and non-quartz plots. See Table 3 for a complete list of the results.

3.2.2.1. Non-quartz plots. The number of individuals, annual individuals as well as the mean species richness in non-quartz plots was significantly lower in moderately grazed than in ungrazed

		Quartz (N= 27	(,					Non-quartz (N	= 24)				
		No (mean ± SD) n = 9	Moderate (mean ± SD) n = 9	High (mean ± SD) n = 9	<i>p-</i> Value ANOVA	<i>p</i> -Value ANCOVA (pH)	<i>p</i> -Value ANCOVA (conductivity)	No (mean ± SD) n = 8	Moderate (mean ± SD) n = 8	High (mean±SD) n=8	<i>p</i> -Value ANOVA	<i>p</i> -Value ANCOVA (pH)	<i>p</i> -Value ANCOVA (conductivity)
1 0	Total individuals Individuals of endemic species	279 ± 108^{a} 100 + 42 ^a	118 ± 51 ^b 73 + 38 ^{ab}	162 ± 117 ^b 52 + 33 ^b	<0.001 ¹	0.009 0.036	0.172 0.170	425 ± 123 ^a 29 + 14	171 ± 64 ^b 35 + 8	394 ± 254 ^a 37 + 14	0.001 0.443	0.002 0.443	0.002 0.29
-	Individuals of perennial species Individuals of annual species	187 ± 82^{a} 89 ± 48^{a}	$105 \pm 46^{\rm b}$ 11 + 9 ^b	$78 \pm 36^{\rm b}$ 68 + 105 ^{ab}	0.001	0.001	0.028	81 ± 25 $321 + 125^{a}$	69 ± 14 93 + 66 ^b	73 ± 15 296 + 258 ^a	0.512 0.003	0.643 0.004	0.794 0.005
ŝ	Plot species richness	26±6	21±6	22 ± 7	0.282	0.383	0.164	35 ± 7	29±4	29±3	0.061	0.08	0.101
9	Mean species richness	1.51 ± 0.41^{a}	0.92 ± 0.30^{b}	$1 \pm 0.47^{\rm b}$	0.009	0.017	0.225	2.07 ± 0.63^{a}	1.12 ± 0.21^{b}	1.78 ± 0.52^{a}	0.001	0.001	0.002
2	Plot evenness	0.41 ± 0.10	0.51 ± 0.11	0.46 ± 0.14	0.190	0.470	0.666	0.32 ± 0.13^{a}	0.55 ± 0.12^{b}	0.39 ± 0.18^{ab}	0.016	0.012	0.025
∞	Plot/subplot ratio	18 ± 5	24 ± 7	24 ± 8	0.086	0.059	0.811	17 ± 4^{a}	27 ± 5 ^b	17 ± 5^{a}	<0.001	<0.001	0.001
6	Endemic species richness	9±3	9±3	6±3	0.177	0.233	0.109	7 ± 2	7 ± 1	5 ± 2	0.096	0.102	0.099
10	Endemic evenness	0.56 ± 0.12	0.54 ± 0.14	0.63 ± 0.19	0.438	0.348	0.446	0.78 ± 0.11	0.66 ± 0.16	0.71 ± 0.07	0.16	0.158	0.145
11	Perennial species richness	16 ± 4	17 ± 5	14 ± 7	0.451	0.639	0.324	16 ± 2^{ab}	17 ± 3 ^a	13 ± 2 ^b	0.014	0.017	0.012
12	Perennial evenness	0.41 ± 0.13	0.51 ± 0.11	0.55 ± 0.14	0.073	0.085	0.280	0.61 ± 0.09	0.64 ± 0.11	0.61 ± 0.13	0.762	0.817	0.794
13	Annual species richness	8 ± 2 ^a	$4 \pm 2^{\rm b}$	5 ± 3 ^b	0.001	0.008	0.012	14 ± 4^{a}	10 ± 2^{b}	12 ± 3 ^{ab}	0.043	0.06	0.071
¹ Res	ults of log transformed data.												

and intensively grazed plots. Plot evenness, however, was highest in moderately grazed plots and lowest in ungrazed plots. Similarly, the plot/subplot ratio of species richness was highest in moderately grazed plots and significantly lower in ungrazed as well as in intensively grazed plots. Plot species richness was insignificantly higher in ungrazed compared to grazed plots. Perennial species richness was highest in moderately grazed plots and lowest in intensively grazed plots. Ungrazed plots contained the highest and moderately grazed plots the lowest number of annual species. This result became insignificant when including pH or conductivity as covariates in an ANCOVA.

3.2.2.2. Quartz plots. Only the number of perennial and annual species as well as the annual species richness showed significant differences in the ANOVA and both ANCOVAs. Ungrazed plots contained the highest number of annual and perennial individuals as well as annual species.

The following parameters differed significantly for ANOVAs as well as ANCOVAs with pH as a covariate but not for ANCOVAs with conductivity as a covariate. Ungrazed sites contained significantly more individuals and had higher mean species richness than moderately as well as intensively grazed sites. The number of individuals of endemic taxa was highest on ungrazed plots and lowest on intensively grazed plots with significant differences between the ungrazed and intensively grazed plots.

3.3. Community level: species composition

In quartz plots, 17 species (11 endemics among them) were significantly associated and 29 (four endemics) in non-quartz plots (compare El. App. C). According to ϕ -values, only one species (*Drosanthemum schoenlandianum*, an endemic) was highly diagnostic for non-quartz plots and none for quartz plots. The species highly diagnostic and significantly associated for the three grazing categories are illustrated in Table 4 with special reference to endemic species.

3.4. Population level: reproduction

In total, seven perennial species were present as individuals carrying reproductive structures in at least three plots per grazing intensity level and were thus included in the reproduction assessment.

Table 4

Species that were significantly associated at one of the three grazing intensities (quartz and non-quartz separately) according to Fisher's exact test. C = chamaephyte, G = geophyte, T = therophyte; endemic species are printed in bold; *highly diagnostic species according to ϕ -values (see also El. App. C).

Grazing intensity	Quartz	Life form type	Non-quartz	Life form type
No	Argyroderma delaetii [*]	С	Crotalaria meyeriana	С
	Cephalophyllum framesii	С	Gazania lichtensteinii	Т
	Crotalaria meyeriana*	С	Lachenalia framesii [*]	G
	Mesembryanthemum guerichianum	Т	Senecio abruptus	Т
	Mesembryanthemum longistylum [*]	Т	Senecio arenarius	Т
	Oophytum nanum	С		
	Senecio arenarius [*]	Т		
Moderate	Antimima watermeyeri [*]	С	Antimima solida [*]	С
	Tetragonia fruticosa	С	Crassula expansa ssp. pyrifolia [*]	С
	Ursinia nana	Т	Othonna protecta	С
			Ruschia bolusiae	С
High	Gazania lichtensteinii	Т	Galenia sarcophylla	С
-	Sarcocornia xerophila [*]	С		

D.H. Haarmeyer et al./Biological Conservation 143 (2010) 588-596

D.H. Haarmeyer et al./Biological Conservation 143 (2010) 588-596

Table 5

Mean numbers (±SD) of seedlings per subplot for the habitat types, grazing, microhabitat and microtopography categories and results of the Kruskal–Wallis-Test. *p*-Values printed in bold indicate significant differences; different letters in superscripts indicate significant differences among levels.

Habitat	Quartz (mean \pm SD) $n = 27$	Non-quartz (mean \pm SD) $n = 24$		p-Value
Number of seedlings Number of Aizoaceae seedlings Number of non-Aizoaceae seedlings	0.82 ± 0.79 0.68 ± 0.67 0.14 ± 0.32	0.57 ± 0.63 0.29 ± 0.23 0.26 ± 0.48		0.390 0.055 0.264
Grazing intensities	No (mean \pm SD) $n = 17$	Moderate (mean \pm SD) $n = 17$	High (mean ± SD) <i>n</i> = 17	p-Value
Number of seedlings Number of Aizoaceae seedlings Quartz: number of Aizoaceae seedlings Non-quartz: number of Aizoaceae seedlings Number of non-Aizoaceae seedlings	$\begin{array}{c} 0.84 \pm 0.70 \\ 0.69 \pm 0.64 \\ 1.08 \pm 0.65^{3} \\ 0.26 \pm 0.24 \\ 0.15 \pm 0.27 \end{array}$	0.43 ± 0.42 0.31 ± 0.34 0.31 ± 0.43 ^b 0.32 ± 0.23 0.10 ± 0.16 Medium (mean ± SD) n = 20	$\begin{array}{c} 0.83 \pm 0.93 \\ 0.48 \pm 0.56 \\ 0.64 \pm 0.71^{ab} \\ 0.30 \pm 0.26 \\ 0.34 \pm 0.61 \end{array}$	0.193 0.129 0.017 0.820 0.972
Number of seedlings Number of Aizoaceae seedlings Number of non-Aizoaceae seedlings Microtopography	$\begin{array}{l} 0.46 \pm 0.57^{ab} \\ 0.26 \pm 0.32^{a} \\ 0.18 \pm 0.40 \\ \end{array}$ Flat (mean ± SD) n = 51	0.34 ± 0.58^{a} 0.21 ± 0.39^{a} 0.13 ± 0.32 Medium (mean ± SD) n = 51	$\begin{array}{c} 0.87 \pm 0.98^{\text{b}} \\ 0.74 \pm 0.85^{\text{b}} \\ 0.13 \pm 0.31 \\ \text{Hilly (mean \pm SD) } n = 43 \end{array}$	0.013 <0.001 0.642 <i>p</i> -Value
Number of seedlings Number of Aizoaceae seedlings Number of non-Aizoaceae seedlings	$\begin{array}{c} 0.77 \pm 0.79^{a} \\ 0.57 \pm 0.61^{a} \\ 0.19 \pm 0.39 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.53 \pm 0.65^{ab} \\ 0.32 \pm 0.38^{a} \\ 0.21 \pm 0.44 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.53 \pm 0.80^{\rm b} \\ 0.29 \pm 0.53^{\rm b} \\ 0.24 \pm 0.51 \end{array}$	0.007 < 0.001 0.355

Individuals of both Argyroderma fissum and D. schoenlandianum had the highest number of reproductive structures in moderately grazed plots D. diversifolium, D. spec. 1 ('glossy') and Malephora purpureo-crocea showed the same trend, though insignificantly (El. App. H).

The combined analysis over all investigated species confirmed this trend of highest numbers in moderately grazed plots. The difference between the grazing intensities was marginally significant with p = 0.050.

3.5. Population level: number of seedlings

The two habitat types differed with marginal significance in abundance of Aizoaceae seedlings per subplot (averaged per plot): in quartz plots more than twice as many Aizoaceae seedlings occurred as in non-quartz plots. The total numbers of seedlings and the numbers of non-Aizoaceae seedlings did not differ between habitat types (Table 5).

When quartz and non-quartz plots were combined, the results for the three grazing intensities differed neither in total number of seedlings nor when differentiating between Aizoaceae and non-Aizoaceae seedlings. When only the quartz plots were taken into account, the numbers of Aizoaceae seedlings differed significantly between grazing intensities. The highest number of seedlings occurred in ungrazed and the lowest in moderately grazed plots (Table 5).

The three microhabitat categories of quartz cover densities differed significantly in their total numbers of seedlings and highly significantly in their numbers of Aizoaceae seedlings. No differences in the numbers of non-Aizoaceae seedlings could be detected between the categories of quartz cover densities (Table 5).

The three microtopography categories differed significantly in their numbers of Aizoaceae seedlings, with the highest number of seedlings encountered on flat surfaces (i.e. relief less than 1 cm, see Table 5).

4. Discussion

4.1. Effects of grazing at the community level

4.1.1. Abundance of plant individuals and life history types

The abundance of plant individuals showed different patterns for quartz and non-quartz plots. However, both habitat types showed significant variances for total individuals, which was, on non-quartz plots, mainly driven by the abundance of annual individuals. Among the non-quartz plots ungrazed and intensively grazed sites had high numbers of individuals due to high abundance of annuals.

In contrast, the abundance pattern in quartz plots was determined by perennials and annuals, both of which were most abundant in ungrazed plots. Since the most abundant species in ungrazed and moderately grazed plots were endemic chamaephytes and, additionally, the number of endemic individuals was lowest in intensively grazed plots, high grazing intensity seems to have a particularly negative impact on endemic species.

As the abundance of annuals did not significantly increase with grazing intensity in quartz or in non-quartz plots and was even lowest on the moderately grazed farm Ratelgat, the shift in species composition from perennial towards annual species in response to grazing pressure described for the Succulent Karoo (Desmet, 2007; Milton and Hoffman, 1994; Todd and Hoffman, 1999) and for other biomes (Grime, 2001; West, 1993) was not found. However, quartz fields showed other signs of vegetation change due to grazing: to-tal abundance of individuals, abundance of individuals of endemic as well as perennial species decreased significantly compared to no grazing.

The generally low abundance of annuals under moderate grazing could be explained by factors other than grazing such as spatially differing rainfall patterns or soil properties such as water storage capacity or nutrient availability (Gillson and Hoffman, 2007). Anderson and Hoffman (2007) as well as Hendricks et al. (2005) argued that precipitation was the most likely cause for the abundance of annuals on heavily grazed sites in the Succulent Karoo. The increased cover of annuals due to grazing pressure in a fence line contrast study in the Kamiesberg area of the upland Succulent Karoo described by Todd and Hoffman (1999) can also be ascribed to the high precipitation in the year of data collection. As the moderately grazed farm showed generally low abundance of individuals of annual species as well as low richness of annual species, it can be assumed that this farm received less rainfall in the year of investigation than the other farms investigated in this study, although such a spatially heterogeneous rainfall pattern would be rather unusual for the winter rainfall region of southern Africa (Desmet, 2007). However, this suggestion is supported by the comparison of data from a weather station on the moderately grazed farm Ratelgat with those from another one on a farm 25 km south-west (Moedverloren). During the preceding wet season (April-August) Ratelgat received 41% less rain than Moedverloren (BIOTA AFRICA, unpublished data).

4.1.2. Plant diversity

On non-quartz fields, the moderately grazed plots contained the lowest number of species per subplot (400 cm²). This would suggest a negative effect of moderate grazing but not of intensive grazing on species richness, which is contrary to most other studies (Ayyad and Elkadi, 1982; Eccard et al., 2000; Naveh and Whittaker, 1979; Olsvig-Whittaker et al., 1993) and to the intermediate disturbance hypothesis (Connell, 1978; Grime, 1973). This, as well as the highest β -diversity and evenness on moderately grazed plots, can be explained by the very low number of therophytes on moderately grazed plots in comparison to the other grazing intensities. The majority of therophyte species in the study area belongs to the Asteraceae and are wind-dispersed, opportunistic and typically occur in high abundances. This has a homogenising effect on the vegetation. In contrast, the majority of the perennial species belong to the Aizoaceae which are habitat specific and characterised by ombrohydrochorous (dispersed by rain) short-distance dispersal (Parolin, 2006). This is an important factor responsible for the patchy, heterogeneous distribution of the Aizoaceae (compare also Desmet and Cowling, 1999; Schmiedel and Jürgens, 1999). Differences in abundance of annual and perennial species can thus have an effect on the β-diversity and evenness of the Knersvlakte vegetation.

In quartz fields, in contrast to non-quartz fields, the ungrazed plots contained the highest average number of species per subplot as well as the highest total plant species richness at the plot level (insignificant trend). Moreover, the number of annual species was highest on ungrazed plots. No grazing with only low level, localised disturbance by burrowing small mammals would provide the intermediate disturbance which may support highest levels of diversity as predicted by Grime (1973) and Connell (1978). Any increase of disturbance due to grazing of livestock has a negative impact on species diversity. The low negative impact threshold of disturbance can be explained by the very low productivity of the quartz fields, which is due to their extreme habitat conditions (Schmiedel and Jürgens, 1999). In particular, the abundance of annuals on quartz fields decreases even under moderate grazing. This can be attributed to the fact that the annuals, mainly Asteraceae species, are much more palatable to stock than the perennial quartz field species, which are mainly Aizoaceae species (Schmiedel, unpublished observations). The latter typically remain ungrazed (except for the flowers during anthesis), but suffer from trampling of roaming livestock.

4.1.3. Species composition

The results regarding the highly diagnostic and significantly associated species indicate a much higher floristic variability among quartz plots than among non-quartz plots. They also indicate strong differences in species composition between the different grazing intensities on quartz fields. This is in concordance with Schmiedel (2002), who identified 67 obligate quartz field species for the entire Knersvlakte. Only four of them are widespread in the Knersvlakte whereas the other species were recorded only from parts of the area.

The ungrazed farm Quaggaskop and the moderately grazed farm Ratelgat host a number of locally endemic habitat specialists, in both quartz and non-quartz plots. The few significantly associated and highly diagnostic species on the intensively grazed farms were not endemic to the Knersvlakte. This gives both the farms Quaggaskop (no grazing) and Ratelgat (moderate grazing) and their grazing regimes a higher conservation significance and substantiates the hypothesis of a floristic homogenisation of heavily grazed rangeland (Ludwig and Tongway, 2000).

For a deeper understanding of the processes underlying compositional shifts in response to grazing as well as for estimating the extent to which specific plant communities are impacted by grazing, studies about food preferences and palatability of plant species in this region are essential (like the study by Hendricks et al. (2002) in the Richtersveld National Park).

4.1.4. Role of environmental parameters

In non-quartz plots, the recorded environmental parameters did not significantly alter the results regarding the impact of grazing when used as covariates in the ANCOVAs. This suggests that the effects found for non-quartz plots were due to grazing alone and not biased by the measured soil properties. Nevertheless, this does not exclude possible effects of other parameters, such as small-scale rainfall patterns (which seemed to have had an effect on some of the results of this study), spatial distance (Bertram, 2006; Parolin, 2006), micro-climate (Schmiedel and Jürgens, 2004), or other soil properties, such as soil moisture, texture or stone content (Ellis and Weis, 2006).

In quartz plots, the measured soil properties showed an effect on the diversity, abundance and species composition. These results are in line with Schmiedel and Jürgens (1999) and Schmiedel (2002). While species abundance and diversity of the quartz plots were not influenced by soil pH, soil salinity (electrical conductivity) did affect mean species richness and the abundance of all species and the endemic species. This indicates a high importance of soil salinity for abundance and diversity patterns of species on quartz plots and might overweigh the effects of grazing pressure. As salinity amplifies the effects of drought by lowering the osmotic potential in the soil (Campbell and Reece, 2005), it can be assumed that the abundance and diversity of plant species on quartz fields is mainly determined by soil water availability, exacerbated by salinity.

4.2. Effects of grazing at the population level

4.2.1. Reproduction

The production of flowers and fruits increased under moderate but not intensive grazing in two of the seven studied species, namely A. fissum and D. schoenlandianum. A combined analysis across all seven species showed the same trend. An explanation for this increase could be that injuries caused by moderate grazing or trampling stimulate the production of flowers which may overcompensate for the injuries by producing even more flowers (McNaughton, 1983). This mechanism has been demonstrated for other African species, for example the dwarf shrub Indigofera spinosa (Oba et al., 2000) and Acacia drepanolobium (Gadd et al., 2001), and could also apply for *D. schoenlandianum* which seems to be a palatable species, as its seeds were very frequently found in dung (Haarmeyer et al., in press). However, with a further increase of grazing pressure, the increased production of flowers might be insufficient to compensate for the trampling injuries and can thus be a major threat to fruit production as identified for the upland Succulent Karoo (Pufal et al., 2008). Also, Todd and Hoffman (1999) as well as Milton (1994) found a significant decrease in flowering or seed set in response to heavy grazing in two different palatable plant species, whereas an unpalatable species was not affected in the latter study.

A. fissum, the second species for which an increase in reproductive structures under moderate grazing has been found, is unpalatable to stock except for the flowers (Schmiedel, pers. obs.), and there was no indication in the field that this plant was grazed at all. Thus, it is unlikely that the detected increase of flowers of this species is related to grazing. Considering the likewise higher volume of *A. fissum* individuals on the moderately grazed farm Ratelgat, a more likely explanation is that the plants grow better on this farm in response to more suitable habitat conditions and therefore carry more flowers and fruits. Such small-scale adaptations to edaphic microenvironment have been shown for *Argyroderma*

594

species by Ellis and Weis (2006). As not all species showed an increase of reproductive structures under moderate grazing pressure, it is likely that responses to grazing are species-specific.

4.2.2. Number of seedlings

The number of seedlings generally tended to be lowest on the moderately grazed farm. This is in line with the relatively low abundance of therophytes on the same sites and underpins the assumption of spatially differing precipitation patterns as discussed above. Based on these results it can be assumed that grazing and trampling may have a weaker effect on seedling numbers than other environmental drivers like rainfall. Milton (1994) also did not find an effect of grazing on seedling numbers during a study in the Great Karoo. In contrast, a study in the upland Succulent Karoo showed that heavy grazing may have a negative impact on recruitment of Ruschia robusta and Cheridopsis denticulata, two Aizoaceae species, due to limited seed numbers (Riginos and Hoffman, 2003). The findings suggest that only very intensive grazing affects germination and abundance of seedlings. The grazing intensities investigated in the Knersvlakte, even on the farms with relatively intensive grazing, were apparently not high enough to impair seedling recruitment.

The presence of quartz cover had a highly significant positive effect on the total number of seedlings found per subplot, which is mainly due to the contribution of Aizoaceae seedlings. This is in concordance with the higher abundance of Aizoaceae seedlings recorded in quartz plots than in non-quartz plots at the plot level (0.1 ha) and suggests a strong influence of quartz cover on recruitment of Aizoaceae seedlings. The soil between the quartz stones is less exposed to solar radiation and is therefore generally cooler (Schmiedel and Jürgens, 2004) and moister (Charles Musil, unpublished data) than the soil without quartz cover. As water uptake is essential for germination, the quartz habitat seems to better fulfil germination requirements for Aizoaceae seedlings than the nonquartz habitat.

Small depressions in the surface (generated, for instance, by sheep footprints) can act as seed and water traps. One could therefore expect an accumulation of seedlings on surfaces with more pronounced microtopography. This expectation was not met, as the number of seedlings was not higher on rougher surfaces. Aizoaceae seedlings were even recorded most frequently on soil surfaces classified as smooth. However, the measured effect could have been biased by other factors such as quartz cover, which also provides a certain roughness of the surface even though the soil surface itself appears to be smooth.

4.3. Conclusions and implications for nature conservation

The study revealed that the vegetation of the Knersvlakte is a complex system affected by multiple factors, where different vegetation parameters showed different responses to grazing pressure. The abundance, diversity and composition of species as well as reproduction and growth of some frequently occurring perennial species were only secondarily affected by grazing. Although overgrazing is by all means a serious problem by promoting degradation processes and should certainly not be encouraged, the main drivers of vegetation and population dynamics in the Knersvlakte seem to be small-scale patterns in soil properties (quartz cover, salinity). Additionally, variation in rainfall patterns also seems to contribute to spatial differences which, however, would only have temporary effects.

Despite its minor role, grazing showed effects on some parameters (e.g. species abundance on quartz plots, number of diagnostic species and production of flowers in *D. schoenlandianum*). From a conservation perspective, no or moderate grazing appear to be necessary to preserve plant diversity vegetation patterns, and their underlying processes as both systems hosted unique locally endemic habitat specialists. Therefore, neither a complete ban nor an overall homogenous application of grazing is advisable when aiming to conserve the existing vegetation pattern with its unique flora and high endemism. Conservation-motivated management should consequently consider implementing both the exclusion of domestic livestock on some and the maintenance of controlled, moderate grazing intensity on other parts of the conservation area which are dominated by non-quartz soils. Limited grazing would also serve the growing interest in farm land by previously disadvantaged communities. Further studies should aim at evaluating whether the effects of moderate domestic livestock grazing can also be achieved by indigenous grazing animals. Additionally, studies on palatability and food preferences are needed to explore the effects of grazing on specific species or plant communities.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the staff of CapeNature in Vanrhynsdorp, the Griqua community, Buys Wiese and Marietjie van der Merwe as well as the herders on Rooiberg farm for their hospitality and the permission to work on their land. Thanks to Wynand Pieters, Gerda Kriel, Mariana Beukes-Joseph, Henry Cloete, Jona Luther-Mosebach, Matthias Hoffmeister and Witja Pitz for their help with the field work. Research and collection permits were granted by the Western Cape Nature Conservation Board. Thanks to the participants of the Paper-Writing-Seminar of our working group for their valuable comments and Curtis Björk for providing language help. Data collection was carried out as part of the diploma thesis by Daniela H. Haarmeyer in the framework of the research project BIOTA Southern Africa. The project was sponsored by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research under promotion number 01 LC 0624A2. Additional funding was given by Deutsche Kakteen Gesellschaft e.V. We also would like to thank the three anonymous reviewers for their comments on an earlier version of the manuscript.

Appendix A. Supplementary material

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2009.11.008.

References

- AG Boden, 2005. Bodenkundliche Kartieranleitung. fifth ed.. Bundesanstalt für Geowissenschaften und Rohstoffe, Hannover.
- Anderson, P.M.L., Hoffman, M.T., 2007. The impacts of sustained heavy grazing on plant diversity and composition in lowland and upland habitats across the Kamiesberg mountain range in the Succulent Karoo, South Africa. Journal of Arid Environments 70, 686–700.
- Ayyad, M.A., Elkadi, H.F., 1982. Effect of protection and controlled grazing on the vegetation of a mediterranean desert ecosystem in Northern Egypt. Vegetatio 49, 129–139.
- Bertram, G., 2006. Detecting and interpreting plant patterns in South Africa. Zentralblatt für Geologie und Paläontologie 1, 1–5.
- Boonzaier, E., Malherbe, C., Berens, P., Smith, A., 2000. The cape herders. A History of the Khoikhoi of Southern Africa. second ed.. David Philip Publishers, Claremont.
- Campbell, N.A., Reece, J.B., 2005. Biology. seventh ed.. Pearson Education/Benjamin Cummings, San Francisco.
- Chytrý, M. (Ed.), 2007. Vegetation of the Czech Republic. Academia, Prague.
- Chytrý, M., Tichý, L., Holt, J., Botta-Dukát, Z., 2002. Determination of diagnostic species with statistical fidelity measures. Journal of Vegetation Science 13, 79– 90.
- Connell, J.H., 1978. Diversity in tropical rain forests and coral reefs high diversity of trees and corals is maintained only in a non-equilibrium state. Science 199, 1302–1310.
- Cowling, R.M., Hilton-Taylor, C., 1994. Patterns of plant diversity and endemism in Southern Africa: an overview. In: Huntley, B.J. (Ed.), Botanical Diversity in Southern Africa. National Botanical Institute, Pretoria, pp. 31–52.
- Cowling, R.M., Pressey, R.L., 2003. Introduction to systematic conservation planning in the cape floristic region. Biological Conservation 112, 1–13.

596

D.H. Haarmeyer et al. / Biological Conservation 143 (2010) 588-596

Desmet, P.G., 2004. Designing a Living Landscape for Biodiversity Conservation in the Knersvlakte Region of the Succulent Karoo, South Africa: A Systematic Conservation Planning Approach. Ph.D. thesis, University of Cape Town.

Desmet, P.G., 2007. Namaqualand – a brief overview of the physical and floristic environment. Journal of Arid Environments 70, 570–587.

- Desmet, P.G., Cowling, R.M., 1999. Biodiversity, habitat and range-size aspects of a flora from a winter-rainfall desert in north-western Namaqualand, South Africa. Plant Ecology 142, 23–33.
- Desmet, P.G., Barret, T., Ellis, A.G., Cowling, R.M., Heijnis, C., Le Roux, A., Lombard, A.T., Pressey, R.L., 1999. A systematic plan for a protected area system in the Knersvlakte region of Namaqualand. Institute for Plant Conservation, University of Cape Town, Cape Town IPC 9901, pp. 1–75.
- Eccard, J.A., Walther, R.B., Milton, S.J., 2000. How livestock grazing affects vegetation structures and small mammal distribution in the semi-arid Karoo. Journal of Arid Environments 46, 103–106.
- Ellis, A.G., Weis, A.E., 2006. Coexistence and differentiation of 'flowering stones': the role of local adaptation to soil microenvironment. Journal of Ecology 94, 322–335.
- Esler, K.J., Milton, S.J., Dean, W.R.J., 2006. Karoo Veld Ecology and Management. Briza Publication, Pretoria.
- Fleischner, T.L., 1994. Ecological costs of livestock grazing in Western North-America. Conservation Biology 8, 629–644.
- Gadd, M.E., Young, T.P., Palmer, T.M., 2001. Effects of simulated shoot and leaf herbivory on vegetative growth and plant defense in *Acacia drepanolobium*. Oikos 92, 515–521.
- Germishuizen, G., Meyer, N.L. (Eds.), 2003. Plants of Southern Africa: an Annotated Checklist Strelitzia 14. National Botanical Institute, Pretoria.
- Gillson, L., Hoffman, M.T., 2007. Rangeland ecology in a changing world. Science 315, 53–54.
- Grime, J.P., 1973. Competitive exclusion in herbaceous vegetation. Nature 242, 344– 347.
- Grime, J.P., 2001. Plant Strategies, Vegetation Processes, and Ecosystem Properties, second ed. John Wiley & Sons Ltd., Chichester.Haarmeyer, D.H., Bösing, B.M., Schmiedel, U., Dengler, J., in press. The role of
- Haarmeyer, D.H., Bösing, B.M., Schmiedel, U., Dengler, J., in press. The role of domestic herbivores in endozoochorous plant dispersal in the arid Knersvlakte, South Africa. South African Journal of Botany. doi:10.1016/j.sajb.2009.12.001.
- Hartmann, H.E.K., 1991. Keys to the Genera of Mesembryanthema. South African Journal of Botany 57, 95–106.
- Hendricks, H.H., Novellie, P.A., Bond, W.J., Midgley, G.F., 2002. Diet selection of goats in the communally grazed Richtersveld National Park. African Journal of Range & Forage Science 19, 1–11.
- Hendricks, H.H., Bond, W.J., Midgley, J., Novellie, P.A., 2005. Plant species richness and composition a long livestock grazing intensity gradients in a Namaqualand (South Africa) protected area. Plant Ecology 176, 19–33.
- Hilton-Taylor, C., 1994. Karoo-namib region: western cape domain succulent Karoo. In: Davis, S.D., Heywood, V.H. (Eds.), Centres of Plant Diversity: a Guide and Strategy for their Conservation. Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp. 204–217.
- Hilton-Taylor, C., Le Roux, A., 1989. Conservation status of the Fynbos and Karoo Biomes. In: Huntley, B.J. (Ed.), Biotic Diversity in Southern Africa: Concepts and Conservation. Oxford University Press, Cape Town, pp. 202–223.
- Hoffman, M.T., Rohde, R.F., 2007. From pastoralism to tourism: the historical impact of changing land use practices in Namaqualand. Journal of Arid Environments 70, 641–658.
- Jürgens, N., 1997. Floristic biodiversity and history of African arid regions. Biodiversity and Conservation 6, 495–514.
- Ludwig, J.A., Tongway, D.J., 2000. Viewing rangelands as landscape systems. In: Arnalds, O., Archer, S. (Eds.), Rangeland Desertification. Kluwer Academic Press, Dordrecht, pp. 39–52.
- Margules, C.R., Pressey, R.L., 2000. Systematic conservation planning. Nature 405, 243–253.
- McNaughton, S.J., 1983. Compensatory plant growth as a response to herbivory. Oikos 40, 329–336.

- Milton, S.J., 1994. Growth, flowering and recruitment of shrubs in grazed and in protected rangeland in the arid Karoo, South-Africa. Vegetatio 111, 17–27. Milton, S.J., Hoffman, M.T., 1994. The application of state-and-transition models to
- Milton, S.J., Hoffman, M.T., 1994. The application of state-and-transition models to rangeland research and management in arid succulent and semi-arid grassy Karoo, South Africa. African Journal of Range & Forage Science 11, 18–26.
- Milton, S.J., Dean, W.R.J., Duplessis, M.A., Siegfried, W.R., 1994. A conceptual-model of arid rangeland degradation – the escalating cost of declining productivity. Bioscience 44, 70–76.
- Milton, S.J., Yeaton, R.I., Dean, W.R.J., Vlok, J.H.J., 1997. Succulent Karoo. In: Cowling, R.M., Richardson, D.M., Pierce, S.M. (Eds.), Vegetation of Southern Africa. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 131–166.
- Mucina, L., Jürgens, N., Le Roux, A., Rutherford, M.C., Schmiedel, U., Esler, K.J., Powrie, L.W., Desmet, P.G., Milton, S.J., 2006. Succulent Karoo Biome. In: Mucina, L., Rutherford, M.C. (Eds.), The Vegetation of Southern Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland. Strelitzia 19. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria, pp. 220–299.
- Myers, N., Mittermeier, R.A., Mittermeier, C.G., da Fonseca, G.A.B., Kent, J., 2000. Biodiversity hotspots for conservation priorities. Nature 403, 853–858.
- Naveh, Z., Whittaker, R.H., 1979. Structural and floristic diversity of shrublands and woodlands in Northern Israel and other mediterranean areas. Vegetatio 41, 171–190.
- Nordenstam, B., 1969. Phytogeography of the genus Euryops (compositae). A contribution to the phytogeography of southern Africa. Opera Botanica 23, 1–77.
- Oba, G., Mengistu, Z., Stenseth, N.C., 2000. Compensatory growth of the african dwarf shrub *Indigofera spinosa* following simulated herbivory. Ecological Applications 10, 1133–1146.
- Olsvig-Whittaker, L.S., Hosten, P.E., Marcus, I., Shochat, E., 1993. Influence of grazing on sand field vegetation in the Negev desert. Journal of Arid Environments 24, 81–93.
- Parolin, P., 2006. Ombrohydrochory: rain-operated seed dispersal in plants with special regard to jet-action dispersal in Aizoaceae. Flora 201, 511–518.
- Pressey, R.L., Cowling, R.M., Rouget, M., 2003. Formulating conservation targets for biodiversity pattern and process in the Cape Floristic Region, South Africa. Biological Conservation 112, 99–127.
- Pufal, G., Mayer, C., Porembski, S., Jürgens, N., 2008. Factors affecting fruit set in Aizoaceae species of the Succulent Karoo. Basic and Applied Ecology 9, 401–409.
- Quinn, G.P., Keough, M.J., 2002. Experimental Design and Data Analysis for Biologists. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Riginos, C., Hoffman, M.T., 2003. Changes in population biology of two succulent shrubs along a grazing gradient. Journal of Applied Ecology 40, 615–625.
- Rutherford, M.C., Westfall, R.H., 1994. Biomes of Southern Africa: an Objective Categorization, second ed. National Botanical Institute, Pretoria.
- Schmiedel, U., 2002. The Quartz Fields of Southern Africa flora, phytogeography, vegetation, and habitat ecology. Dissertation thesis, University of Cologne, Cologne.
- Schmiedel, U., Jürgens, N., 1999. Community structure on unusual habitat islands: quartz-fields in the Succulent Karoo, South Africa. Plant Ecology 142, 57–69.
- Schmiedel, U., Jürgens, N., 2004. Habitat ecology of southern African quartz fields: studies on the thermal properties near the ground. Plant Ecology 170, 153–166.
- StatSoft Inc., 2007. Electronic Statistics Textbook. StatSoft, Tulsa, Oklahoma. http://www.statsoft.com/textbook/stathome.html.
- Gradi, S.W., Hoffman, M.T., 1999. A fence-line contrast reveals effects of heavy grazing on plant diversity and community composition in Namaqualand, South Africa. Plant Ecology 142, 169–178.
- van Reeuwijk, L.-P., 1995. Procedures for Soil Analysis, fifth ed. International Soil Reference and Information Centre, Wageningen.

van Wyk, A.E., Smith, G.F., 2001. Regions of Floristic Endemism in Southern Africa – a Review with Emphasis on Succulents. Umdaus Press, Hatfield, South Africa. West, N.E., 1993. Biodiversity of rangelands. Journal of Range Management 46, 2–13.

Whittaker, R.H., 1960. Vegetation of the Siskiyou mountains, Oregon and California. Ecological Monographs 30, 280–338.

Electronic Appendix A:	Geographic	coordinates	(reference	system:	WGS84),	and	habitat	and	soil
characteristics of the plots	.								

Plot	Latitude [°]	Longitude [°]	Farm	Habitat	Grazing Intensity	рН	Conductivity [µS⋅cm ⁻¹]	Carbonate content
1	-31.34354764	18.60397741	Ratelgat	non-quartz	moderate	7.94	950	0.5-2%
2	-31.33897671	18.47421885	Hoogstaan	non-quartz	high	7.90	1162	2-4%
3	-31.33980327	18.47128317	Hoogstaan	non-quartz	high	7.81	2100	<0.5%
4	-31.41154164	18.63944694	Quaggaskop	quartz	no	7.68	3720	0%
5	-31.34026461	18.57984826	Ratelgat	quartz	moderate	3.77	981	0%
6	-31.41011604	18.59105855	Rooiberg	quartz	high	7.38	3990	0%
7	-31.40476369	18.63611966	Quaggaskop	non-quartz	no	7.13	1636	0%
8	-31.40705296	18.61298427	Rooiberg	non-quartz	high	8.15	680	0.5-2%
9	-31.34793037	18.59634385	Ratelgat	non-quartz	moderate	7.98	2800	<0.5%
10	-31.41211026	18.65059420	Quaggaskop	quartz	no	6.88	5500	<0.5%
11	-31.41328909	18.58494714	Rooiberg	quartz	high	8.21	5200	>10%
12	-31.34754413	18.58895436	Ratelgat	quartz	moderate	5.69	2200	0%
13	-31.36520245	18.45755428	Hoogstaan	non-quartz	high	7.74	2320	0.5-2%
14	-31.40760952	18.63553762	Quaggaskop	non-quartz	no	8.41	5650	>10%
15	-31.34020829	18.60162243	Ratelgat	non-quartz	moderate	6.67	647	0%
16	-31.39437684	18.65254819	Quaggaskop	non-quartz	no	7.98	380	2-4%
17	-31.40614503	18.63715097	Quaggaskop	non-quartz	no	7.85	3270	4-7%
18	-31.35424026	18.56685966	Ratelgat	non-quartz	moderate	6.74	3000	2-4%
19	-31.34544664	18.46935600	Hoogstaan	quartz	high	7.98	2580	>10%
20	-31.41489306	18.64904791	Quaggaskop	quartz	no	6.84	9100	0%
21	-31.35923185	18.55784878	Ratelgat	quartz	moderate	7.11	6690	<0.5%
22	-31.44555473	18.57581958	Rooiberg	quartz	high	7.50	5640	0%
23	-31.40750223	18.61887708	Rooiberg	non-quartz	high	7.64	638	0%
24	-31.41531148	18.64524320	Quaggaskop	quartz	no	5.45	5940	0%
25	-31.39294988	18.65466043	Quaggaskop	non-quartz	no	8.26	9320	0.5-2%
26	-31.34645917	18.58503699	Ratelgat	quartz	moderate	4.83	3350	0%
27	-31.36246660	18.55245754	Ratelgat	non-quartz	moderate	8.17	1761	7-10%
28	-31.41477638	18.64906803	Quaggaskop	quartz	no	7.58	8580	0%
29	-31.34735771	18.46669927	Hoogstaan	quartz	high	7.71	2660	0%
30	-31.33887389	18.57646868	Ratelgat	quartz	moderate	4.32	1063	0%
31	-31.33875989	18.57551113	Ratelgat	quartz	moderate	6.44	5390	0%
32	-31.41066589	18.64068478	Quaggaskop	quartz	no	7.69	9410	0.5-2%
33	-31.34780430	18.47022504	Hoogstaan	quartz	high	7.32	3980	0%
34	-31.3441/52/	18.57638419	Ratelgat	quartz	moderate	4.07	3070	0%
35	-31.39595130	18.65124330	Quaggaskop	non-quartz	no	7.29	2980	<0.5%
36	-31.39723741	18.65421653	Quaggaskop	quartz	no	6.78	7970	0%
37	-31.36453324	18.54917720	Ratelgat	non-quartz	moderate	7.93	3460	2-4%
38	-31.42199957	18.59401837	Roolberg	non-quartz	nign	1.15	3310	4-7%
39	-31.44858560	18.56587663	Roolderg	quartz	nign	4.26	4290	0%
40	-31.37212702	18.53326678	Rateigat	non-quartz	moderate	7.98	958	2-4%
41	-31.44597449	10.00070001	Rooiberg	non-quartz	nign	7.20	0730	<0.5%
42	-31.42430493	10.04000000	Quaggaskop	non-quanz	no	7.53 E.46	797	>10%
43	-31.34/04/22	10.09023070	Raleigal	quartz	high	5.40 5.25	742 4650	0%
44	-31.44300271	18 64700640	Quagaskop	quartz	ngi	6.27	7120	0%
45	-31 /2225619	18 63052975	Quaggaskop	non-quartz	no	7.55	2520	-0 5%
40	-31.42323010	18 64274740	Quaggaskop	quartz	no	5.46	2000	<0.5% 0%
47	-31.41330792	18 5720/07/	Ratelaat	quartz	moderate	6.64	6610	0%
40	-31 36216082	18 54150206	Ratelgat	non-quartz	moderate	7 32	2200	<0 /0
50	-31 36787705	18 45611706	Hoogstaan	non-quartz	high	6.02	1067	<u> </u>
51	-31 37080424	18 45630825	Hoogstaan	quartz	high	6 1 2	/130	/ /0 _00/_
51	31.37000424	10.40009020	riooysiaan	qualiz	nign	0.12	4150	0 /0

Electronic Appendix B: Schematic design of a plot, containing 100 subplots. For the subplots, recorded parameters were microhabitat, microtopography, vascular plant species identity and abundance, developmental stage of each individual as well as size and number of reproductive organs of the adult individuals of perennial species.



 \Box = Subplot 20 cm x 20 cm

Electronic Appendix C: Species list, arranged according to families, with life forms after Raunkiaer (1934). The numbers indicate the absolute number of presences (adults and juveniles), with taxa only occurring as seedlings being indicated by subscript ^a in front of the species name. C = chamaephyte, G = geophyte, H = hemicryptophyte, P = phanerophyte, T = therophyte. References for distribution of endemic species: (1) Hammer (1993), (2) Hartmann (1977), (3) Hartmann (1988), (4) Hartmann (2002), (5) Ihlenfeldt and Jörgensen (1973), (6) Klak and Linder (1998), (7) le Roux (2005), (8) Schmiedel (2002), (9) Schmiedel, unpublished data, (10) van Wyk and Smith (2001). The colours in the columns indicate the diagnostic value of species among the respective columns according to phi values (red: highly diagnostic; orange: diagnostic; yellow: positively associated, but not diagnostic); significant concentrations are marked with asterisks (Fisher's exact test at $\alpha = 0.05$).

		T	1					Qua	artz			Non-	quartz	z
Species	Life Form	Endemic to Knersvlakte	Quartz (n=27)	Non-quartz (n=24)	Total (n=51)		No (n=9)	Moderate (n=9)	High (n=9)	Total (n=27)	No (n=8)	Moderate (n=8)	High (n=8)	Total (n=24)
Aizoaceae														
<i>Amphibolia saginata</i> (L.Bolus) H.E.K. Hartmann	С		1	1	2	(0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1
Antimima excedens (L.Bolus) Klak	С	4	4	8	12		1	3	0	4	4	2	2	8
<i>Antimima intervallari</i> s (L.Bolus) H.E.K. Hartmann	с	4,10	1	1	2		1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
<i>Antimima solida</i> (L.Bolus) H.E.K. Hartmann	С	4	7	4	11	4	4	3	0	7	0	4*	0	4
<i>Antimima watermeyeri</i> (L.Bolus) H.E.K. Hartmann	С	4	10*	0	10	2	2	7*	1	10	0	0	0	0
Argyroderma crateriforme (L.Bolus) N.E.Br.	С	2	5*	0	5		3	1	1	5	0	0	0	0
Argyroderma deleatii C.A. Maass	С	2	15*	0	15	g)*	4	2	15	0	0	0	0
Argyroderma fissum (Haw.) L.Bolus	С	2	22*	9	31		9	7	6	22	4	4	1	9
Argyroderma framesii L.Bolus ssp. framesii	С	2	2	0	2	(0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0
Argyroderma pearsonii (N.E.Br.) Schwantes	С	2	10*	0	10	:	5	1	4	10	0	0	0	0
Aridaria noctiflora (L.) Schwantes ssp. noctiflora	Р		2	5	7	(0	2	0	2	1	2	2	5
Aridaria serotina L.Bolus	Ρ		2	1	3		1	1	0	2	0	1	0	1
<i>Brownanthus corallinus</i> (Thunb.) Ihlenf. & Bittrich	С		2	1	3	(0	0	2	2	0	0	1	1
Caulipsolon rapaceum (Jacq.) Klak	G	6,10	3	4	7		1	1	1	3	1	1	2	4
<i>Cephalophyllum caespitosum</i> H.E.K. Hartmann	С	3	1	1	2		1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1
Cephalophyllum framesii L. Bolus	С	3,10	14	13	27	8	3*	4	2	14	6	4	3	13
Cephalophyllum parvibracteatum (L.Bolus) H.E.K. Hartmann	С	3	8*	1	9	;	3	3	2	8	0	1	0	1
<i>Cephalophyllum spissum</i> H.E.K. Hartmann	С	3	18*	6	24	8	В	8	2	18	2	4	0	6
Cephalophyllum staminodiosum L.Bolus	С	3,10	3	0	3		1	2	0	3	0	0	0	0
Cephalophyllum spec. 1 ('small')	С		0	1	1	(0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Conophytum calculus (A.Berger) N.E.Br. ssp. calculus	С	1,8	4	0	4		1	3	0	4	0	0	0	0
Conophytum minutum var. minutum (Haw.) N.E.Br.	С	1	6*	0	6		1	4	1	6	0	0	0	0
Conophytum subfenestratum Schwantes	с	1	4	0	4	(0	3	1	4	0	0	0	0
Dactylopsis digitata (Aiton) N.E.Br.	С	4	3	0	3		2	1	0	3	0	0	0	0
Delosperma crassum L.Bolus	С		1	0	1	'	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0

								Qua	artz			Non-	quart	z
Species	Life Form	Endemic to Knersvlakte	Quartz (n=27)	Non-quartz (n=24)	Total (n=51)	No (n=9)		Moderate (n=9)	High (n=9)	Total (n=27)	No (n=8)	Moderate (n=8)	High (n=8)	Total (n=24)
Dicrocaulon brevifolium N.E.Br.	С	4,8	1	0	1	0		0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Dicrocaulon humile N.E.Br.	С	4,8	1	0	1	1		0	0	1	0	0	0	0
<i>Dicrocaulon longifolium</i> spec. Nov. Ihlenfeldt	с		2	0	2	0		0	2	2	0	0	0	0
Drosanthemum deciduum H.E.K. Hartmann & Bruckmann	с	4	2	9*	11	1		1	0	2	3	4	2	9
Drosanthemum diversifolium L. Bolus Drosanthemum alobosum L. Bolus	с с	9	23* 3	14 14*	37 17	9		6 1	8 1	23 3	5	4	5 6	14 14
Drosanthemum pulverulentum (Haw.) Schwantes	С	4	14	18	32	3		5	6	14	7	6	5	18
Drosanthemum ramosissimum (Haw.) Schwantes	с		1	8*	9	0		0	1	1	3	1	4	8
Drosanthemum schoenlandianum (Schltr.) L. Bolus	С	4	4	23*	27	1		1	2	4	7	8	8	23
Drosanthemum spec. 1 ('glossy')	С		5	14*	19	2		0	3	5	4	5	5	14
Drosanthemum spec. 2 ('ggv')	С		0	1	1	0		0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Galenia sarcophylla Fenzl	С		2	8*	10	0		1	1	2	2	1	5*	8
<i>Lampranthu</i> s o <i>tzenianus</i> (Dinter) Friedrich	Ρ		1	14*	15	0		1	0	1	6	5	3	14
<i>Leipoldtia schultzei</i> (Schltr. & Diels) Friedrich	с		1	5	6	1		0	0	1	1	3	1	5
Malephora purpureo-crocea (Haw.) Schwantes	с	7	6	18*	24	1		1	4	6	5	7	6	18
Mesembryanthemum fastigiatum Thunb.	т		0	1	1	0		0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Mesembryanthemum guerichianum Pax	т		3	9*	12	3'	*	0	0	3	3	4	2	9
Mesembryanthemum longistylum DC. Mesembryanthemum pedifferum l	Т		10*	0	10 12	7	•	1	2	10 11	0	0	0	0
Monilaria chrysoleuca (Schltr.)	1			1	12	0		'	4	11	0		U	I
Schwantes Monilaria moniliformis (Thunb.)	С	5	1	0	1	0	'	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
Ihlenf. & Jörg	С	5	5*	0	5	1		3	1	5	0	0	0	0
Schwantes	С	5	3	0	3	2		1	0	3	0	0	0	0
Oophytum nanum (Schltr.) L.Bolus	С	4,8	3	0	3	3'	*	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
Phyllobolus nitidus (Haw.) Gerbaulet	С		1	12*	13	0		0	1	1	6	3	3	12
Phyllobolus spinuliferus (Haw.) Gerbaulet	С		0	1	1	0		0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Psilocaulon dinteri (Engl.) Schwantes	С		3	10*	13	1		0	2	3	2	3	5	10
<i>Psilocaulon leptarthron</i> (A.Berger) N.E.Br.	с	6	2	8*	10	1		0	1	2	4	1	3	8
Ruschia bolusiae	С	9	10	5	15	3		5	2	10	0	4*	1	5
Ruschia burtoniae L.Bolus	С		10*	0	10	2		5	3	10	0	0	0	0
Ruschia spec. 1 ('green cushion')	С		1	0	1	0		1	0	1	0	0	0	0
Ruschia spec. 2 ('round leaves transparent edges'')	с		2	2	4	1		1	0	2	0	2	0	2
<i>Ruschia spinosa</i> (L.) Dehn	С		0	2	2	0		0	0	0	0	2	0	2
Ruschia subsphaerica L.Bolus	С		0	7*	7	0		0	0	0	4	3	0	7
Tetragonia fruticosa L.	С	I	10	8	18	1		6*	3	10	2	4	2	8

							Qu	artz			Non-c	uart	z
Species	Life Form	Endemic to Knersvlakte	Quartz (n=27)	Non-quartz (n=24)	Total (n=51)	No (n=9)	Moderate (n=9)	High (n=9)	Total (n=27)	No (n=8)	Moderate (n=8)	High (n=8)	Total (n=24)
Tetragonia microptera Fenzl	т		0	6*	6	0	0	0	0	3	2	1	6
Tetragonia verrucosa Fenzl	С		4	0	4	1	1	2	4	0	0	0	0
Aizoaceae spec. (,Cono branched')	С		0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Aizoaceae spp. ('Mesembs unident.')	С		7	8	15	3	2	2	7	4	1	3	8
Apiaceae													
Apiaceae spec.			0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Apocynaceae													
Apocynaceae spec.	С		1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Asparagaceae													
Asparagus capensis L.	С		1	1	2	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1
^a Asparagus rubicundus P.J.Bergius	С		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Asparagus spec.	С		1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
Asphodelaceae	_												
Bulbine spec.	G		1	2	3	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	2
<i>Trachyandra bulbinifolia</i> (Dinter) Oberm.	G		0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Trachvandra filiformis (Aiton) Oberm	G		0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Trachyandra tortilis (Baker) Oberm	G		2	1	3	1	1	0	2	0	1	0	1
Trachvandra spec.	G		1	1	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1
Asteraceae	-		-		_		-		-		•	-	-
Amellus microglossus DC.	т		14	20*	34	5	4	5	14	7	6	7	20
Asteraceae spec.	т		1	2	3	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	2
Didelta carnosa (L.f.) Aiton var. carnosa	С		15	18	33	7	5	3	15	8	6	4	18
Felicia australis (Alston) E.Phillips	т		0	3	3	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	3
<i>Foveolina dichotoma</i> (Thell.) Källersjö	т		21	24*	45	9	5	7	21	8	8	8	24
Gazania lichtensteinii Less.	т		8	17*	25	2	1	5*	8	8*	4	5	17
Gazania tenuifolia Less.	Т		1	2	3	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	2
Gorteria diffusa Thunb. ssp. diffusa	т		1	2	3	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	2
Helichrysum alsinoides DC.	т		1	6*	7	0	0	1	1	4	1	1	6
Helichrysum tinctum (Thunb.) Hilliard & B.L.Burtt	т		10	18*	28	5	2	3	10	7	7	4	18
Helichrysum spec. 1 ('Illb')	т		0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Helichrysum spec. 2 (,succulent')	т		4	6	10	2	1	1	4	1	3	2	6
Helichrysum spec.	Т		0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Hirpicium alienatum (Thunb.) Druce	С		1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
Hoplophyllum spinosum DC.	Р		1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Leysera tenella DC.	т		0	2	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2
<i>Oncosiphon grandiflorum</i> (Thunb.) Källersjö	т		0	2	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2
Oncosiphon suffruticosum (L.) Källersjö	т		2	6	8	0	0	2	2	1	2	3	6
Os <i>teospermum pinnatum</i> (Thunb.) Norl.	т		4	20*	24	3	1	0	4	8	6	6	20
Othonna arbuscula (Thunb.)Sch.Bip.	С		0	2	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2
Othonna intermedia Compton	G	4,8	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Othonna protecta Dinter	С		7	12	19	0	4	3	7	4	7*	1	12
Pteronia ciliata Thunb.	С		3	0	3	1	2	0	3	0	0	0	0

								Qua	artz			Non-o	uartz	z
Species	Life Form	Endemic to Knersvlakte	Quartz (n=27)	Non-quartz (n=24)	Total (n=51)		No (n=9)	Moderate (n=9)	High (n=9)	Total (n=27)	No (n=8)	Moderate (n=8)	High (n=8)	Total (n=24)
Pteronia glabrata L.f.	С		1	0	1		0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Pteronia heterocarpa DC.	С	10	1	0	1		0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Rhynchopsidium pumilum (L.f.) DC.	т		5	20*	25		3	0	2	5	5	7	8	20
Senecio abruptus Thunb.	т		1	10*	11		1	0	0	1	6*	3	1	10
Senecio arenarius Thunb.	Т		4	16*	20		4*	0	0	4	8*	4	4	16
Senecio elegans L.	Т		1	0	1		1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Tripteris clandestina Less.	Т		5	9	14		3	0	2	5	4	2	3	9
Tripteris sinuata DC. var. sinuata	C T		8 [*]	0	8		1	5	2	8	0	0	0	0
			10	11	21		1	6"	3	10	3	3	5	11
Halianhila variahilis Burch av DC	- -		10	16*	26		1	5	1	10	6	6	4	16
Carvonhyllaceae			10	10	20		4	5	I	10	0	0	4	10
Spergularia media (L.) C. Presl. ex Griseb.	с		2	0	2		0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0
Chenopodiaceae														
Atriplex spec.	С		2	1	3		0	0	2	2	0	0	1	1
Chenopodium album L.	т		0	1	1		0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Chenopodium murale L.	т		1	1	2		1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1
Salsola spec.	С		21	17	38		9	7	5	21	5	5	7	17
Sarcocornia xerophila (Tölken) A.J.Scott	с	7	4	0	4		0	0	4*	4	0	0	0	0
Crassulaceae	_													
Crassula barklyi N.E.Br.	С		17*	0	17		5	7	5	17	0	0	0	0
<i>Crassula columnaris</i> ssp. <i>prolifera</i> Friedrich	С		7*	0	7		4	1	2	7	0	0	0	0
<i>Crassula deceptor</i> Schönland & Baker f.	С		3	0	3		0	1	2	3	0	0	0	0
<i>Crassula expansa</i> Dryand ssp. <i>expansa</i>	с		0	1	1		0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
<i>Crassula expansa</i> ssp. <i>pyrifolia</i> (Compton) Tölken	с		3	8	11		2	0	1	3	0	6*	2	8
<i>Crassula muscosa var. obtusifolia</i> (Harv.) G.D. Rowley	с		1	2	3		0	1	0	1	0	2	0	2
Crassula muscosa L. var. muscosa	С		0	1	1		0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
<i>Crassula subaphylla</i> var. <i>virgata</i> (Harv.) Tölken	с		0	2	2		0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2
<i>Tylecodon pearsonii</i> (Schönland) Tölken	с		2	0	2		1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0
<i>Tylecodon pygmaeu</i> s (W.F.Barker) Tölken	с	7,8	7*	0	7		4	1	2	7	0	0	0	0
Euphorbiaceae														
<i>Euphorbia decussata</i> E.Mey. Ex. Boiss.	с	10	0	2	2		0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
Euphorbia exilis L.C. Leach	С		0	1	1		0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Euphorbia hamata (Haw.) Sweet	С		2	0	2		0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0
Euphorbia muricata Thunb.	С		2	3	5		1	0	1	2	2	0	1	3
Euphorbia spec.	С		1	1	2		1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
Fabaceae														
Crotalaria humilis Eckl. & Zeyh.	Т		3	11*	14		2	0	1	3	5	2	4	11
Crotalaria meyeriana Steud.	С	l	4	16*	20		4*	0	0	4	8*	4	4	16

							Qu	artz			Non-	quartz	z
Species	Life Form	Endemic to Knersvlakte	Quartz (n=27)	Non-quartz (n=24)	Total (n=51)	No (n=9)	Moderate (n=9)	High (n=9)	Total (n=27)	No (n=8)	Moderate (n=8)	High (n=8)	Total (n=24)
Indigofera spec.	С		1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Lessertia diffusa R.Br.	н		0	2	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
Lessertia spec. (,long twisted fruits')	Н		1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Lotononis falcata (E.Mey) Benth.	Н		1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Fabaceae spec.	т		0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Geraniaceae													
Sarcocaulon crassicaule Rehm	С		2	0	2	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0
Hyacinthaceae													
Lachenalia framesii W.F.Barker	G		1	8*	9	0	1	0	1	6*	2	0	8
Lachenalia mutabilis Sweet	G		1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Lachenalia spec.	G		0	3	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	3
Ornithogalum spec.	G		1	1	2	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
Iridaceae													
Ferraria spec.	G		0	3	3	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	3
Lapeirousia spec. (Goldblatt) Goldblatt & J.C.Manning	G		1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Lobeliaceae													
Cyphia oligotricha Schltr.	н	7	2	0	2	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0
Molluginaceae													
<i>Hypertelis salsoloides</i> (Burch.) Adamson	с		2	3	5	0	1	1	2	1	1	1	3
Oxalidaceae													
Oxalis ambigua Jacq.	G		4	7	11	1	0	3	4	3	2	2	7
Oxalis blastorrhiza T.M.Salter	G	7	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Oxalis pes-caprae L.	G		3	8	11	1	0	2	3	4	2	2	8
Oxalis spec. 1 ('comosa KV')	G		2	2	4	0	0	2	2	1	0	1	2
Oxalis spec. 2 ('erecti')	G		1	3	4	1	0	0	1	1	0	2	3
Oxalis spec. 3 ('small leaves')	G		4	8	12	1	1	2	4	2	2	4	8
Oxalis spec.	G		1	2	3	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	2
Plantaginaceae													
Plantago cafra Decne.	Т		0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
Poaceae													
Chaetobromus involucratus (Schrad) Nees ssp. involucratus	н		0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Karroochloa schismoides (Stapf ex Conert) Conert & Türpe	т		6	17*	23	3	1	2	6	6	5	6	17
Schismus barbatus (Loefl. ex L.) Thell	т		0	4 *	4	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	4
Schmidtia kalabarensis Stent	т		4	4	8	1	2	1	4	1	0	3	4
Tribolium utriculosum (Nees)	•				U		-				Ŭ	Ŭ	•
Renvoize	T T		0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Poaceae spec.	I		1	1	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1
Portulacaceae	~		-										
Anacampseros spec.	С		2	1	3	1	1	0	2	1	0	0	1
Scrophulariaceae	_		_				_	_	_			_	
Zaluzianskya affinis Hilliard	Ť		0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Sterculiaceae	_			-					-		-	_	_
Hermannia cuneifolia Jacq.	С		2	0	2	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	0
Zygophyllaceae	~		4.4	7	24	4	7	2	14	2	~	0	7
∠ygopnyiium coraitoiium L.t.	U	I	14	1	∠1	4	1	3	14	3	2	2	1

					Quartz				Non-quartz						
Species	Life Form	Endemic to Knersvlakte	Quartz (n=27)	Non-quartz (n=24)	Total (n=51)		No (n=9)	Moderate (n=9)	High (n=9)	Total (n=27)		No (n=8)	Moderate (n=8)	High (n=8)	Total (n=24)
Zygophyllum retrofractum Thunb.	С		1	3	4		0	1	0	1		1	2	0	3
Zygophyllum spinosum L.	С		0	1	1		0	0	0	0		0	1	0	1
Zygophyllum teretifolium Schltr.	С		1	0	1		0	0	1	1		0	0	0	0
Unknown family															
'Hannabush'			1	0	1		0	0	1	1		0	0	0	0
'Tiny red flower'	Т		1	0	1		1	0	0	1		0	0	0	0
^a 'Little green'	т														
^a Succulent															
Annual	т		6	13	19		3	1	2	6		6	4	3	13
Dicot			4	3	7		1	0	3	4		0	1	2	3
Geophyte spec.	G		11	19	30		4	3	4	11		7	6	6	19
Monocot			0	1	1		0	0	0	0		1	0	0	1

References:

Hammer, S., 1993. The genus Conophytum – A Conograph. Succulent Plant Publications, Pretoria.

- Hartmann, H.E.K., 1977. Monographie der Gattung *Argyroderma* N.E.Br. (Mesembryanthemaceae Fenzl.). Mitteilungen aus dem Institut für Allgemeine Botanik, Hamburg 15, 121–235.
- Hartmann, H.E.K., 1988. Monographien der Subtribus Leipoldtiinae VIII Monographie der Gattung *Cephalophyllum* (Mesembryanthemaceae). Mitteilungen aus dem Institut für Allgemeine Botanik, Hamburg 22, 93–187.
- Hartmann, H.E.K. (Ed), 2002. Illustrated Handbook of Succulent Plants: Aizoaceae A–E. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Ihlenfeldt, H.-D., Jörgensen, S., 1973. Morphologie und Taxonomie der Gattung *Monilaria* (Schwantes) Schwantes s.str. (Mesembryanthemaceae) (Monographie der Mitrophyllinae Schwantes I). Mitteilungen des Staatsinstituts Allgemeine Botanik Hamburg 14, 49–94.
- Klak, C., Linder, H.P., 1998. Systematics of *Psilocaulon* N.E.Br. and *Caulipsolon* Klak. gen. nov. (Mesembrynthemoideae, Aizoaceae). Botanisches Jahrbuch für Systematik 120, 301–375.
- le Roux, A., 2005. Namaqualand South African Wild Flower Guide 1. 3 edn. Botanical Society of South Africa, Cape Town.
- Raunkiaer, C., 1934. Plant life forms. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Schmiedel, U., 2002. The Quartz Fields of Southern Africa flora, phytogeography, vegetation, and habitat ecology. Dissertation thesis, University of Cologne, Cologne.
- van Wyk, A.E., Smith, G.F., 2001. Regions of Floristic Endemism in Southern Africa A Review with Emphasis on Succulents. Umdaus Press, Hatfield, South Africa.

Life form	N	umber of species		Number of individuals					
	Quartz	Non-quartz	Total	Quartz	Non-quartz	Total			
Chamaephytes	83	57	98	3325	1710	5035			
Therophytes	29	38	42	1515	5679	7194			
Geophytes	15	19	21	135	428	563			
Hemicryptophytes	3	2	5	23	12	35			
Phanerophytes <1 m	4	3	4	6	77	83			
Unknown	4	4	5	27	13	40			
Σ	138	123	175	5031	7919	12,950			

Electronic Appendix D: Number of species and abundances (seedlings excluded) of life forms.

Electronic Appendix E: The ten most abundant species on quartz and non-quartz plots, with their number of occurrences in the subplots.







Electronic Appendix F: Family abundances (for abundances > 10 individuals) on quartz and nonquartz plots. **Electronic Appendix G:** The three most abundant species of the different vegetation units and their percentage contribution to the total abundance of plant individual for the respective unit. Life forms after Raunkiaer (1934): C = chamaephyte, T = therophyte; *endemic species.

Habitat	Grazing intensity	Species	Family	Life form	Number of individuals	Percentage of all individuals	Total number of individuals per unit
		Foveolina dichotoma	Asteraceae	т	1396	41%	
Non-quartz	no	Didelta carnosa var. carnosa	Asteraceae	С	153	5%	
		Osteospermum pinnatum	Asteraceae	т	126	4%	
		Sum			1675	49%	3400
		Foveolina dichotoma	Asteraceae	т	258	19%	
Non-quartz	moderate	Rhynchopsidium pumilum	Asteraceae	Т	104	8%	
		Drosanthemum schoenlandianum*	Aizoaceae	С	88	6%	
		Sum			450	33%	1368
		Rhynchopsidium pumilum	Asteraceae	т	655	21%	
Non-quartz	high	Foveolina dichotoma	Asteraceae	Т	542	17%	
		Helichrysum spec. 2 ('succulent')	Asteraceae	Т	396	13%	
		Sum			1593	51%	3151
		Aravroderma delaetii*	Aizoaceae	С	660	26%	
Quartz	no	Mesembrvanthemum longistvlum	Aizoaceae	Т	262	10%	
		Foveolina dichotoma	Asteraceae	т	228	9%	
		Sum			1150	46%	2515
		Cephalophyllum spissum*	Aizoaceae	С	228	22%	
Quartz	moderate	Antimima watermeyeri*	Aizoaceae	С	82	8%	
		Cephalophyllum parvibracteatum*	Aizoaceae	С	75	7%	
		Sum			385	36%	1059
		Foveolina dichotoma	Asteraceae	т	359	25%	
Quartz	high	Drosanthemum diversifolium*	Aizoaceae	С	196	13%	
		Oncosiphon suffruticosum	Asteraceae	т	82	6%	
		Sum			637	44%	1457

Reference:

Raunkiaer, C., 1934. Plant life forms. Oxford University Press, Oxford.

Electronic Appendix H: Summary of the ANOVA results for numbers of reproductive organs (geometric means of plot data) for differences between grazing intensities and their arithmetic means \pm SD; N_p . Number of plots (replicates); n_i : number of individuals; *p*-values printed in bold indicate significant differences. Different superscript letters indicate significant differences according to Tukey's test.

Species	No (mean ± SD)		Mod (mear	erate 1 ± SD)	Hi (mear	High (mean ± SD)			<i>p</i> -value ANOVA
Argyroderma fissum	6.56	± 4.75 ^A	13.07	± 8.71 ^B	4.70	± 2.26 ^{AB}	25	104	0.030
Drosanthemum diversifolium	15.35	± 4.80	36.17	± 38.56	29.81	± 26.00	31	143	0.525
Drosanthemum spec. 1 ('glossy')	148.20	± 148.12	454.70	± 461.01	205.10	± 215.08	13	37	0.667
Drosanthemum pulverulentum	38.56	± 26.51	41.94	± 33.22	52.95	± 40.55	27	89	0.893
Drosanthemum schoenlandianum	14.60	± 12.30 ^{AB}	31.62	± 25.30 ^A	15.36	± 13.60 ^B	26	138	0.048
Lampranthus otzenianus	125.93	± 109.73	98.58	± 91.30	90.48	± 149.78	13	40	0.488
Malephora purpureo-crocea	16.28	± 18.80	22.63	± 27.12	7.92	± 5.90	20	49	0.837